



Power Quality Improvement using Fuzzy controlled Statcom

Bhoomi Valani¹, Vasant Chaudhary²

¹PG Student – Electrical department, SSSRAGI


²Asst. Professor – Electrical department, SSSRAGI

Abstract — A static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is one of the FACTS devices used to improve the power quality of the power system. In this paper a mamdani based fuzzy logic controller is designed. The inputs to the fuzzy logic are the alternator speed i.e. w and its derivative i.e. dw/dt and the output is the firing angle α of the voltage source converter. The proposed controller is tested on two machine system using Matlab Simulink Environment. The Results are compared with conventional PI STATCOM Controller.

Keywords-Voltage stability, FACT, STATCOM, Fuzzy logic controller

I. INTRODUCTION

In 20th Century the expansion of power system & electronic devices has been grown at very fast rate. The most noticeable topic for electrical engineer is power quality in recent years. Power Quality problem is an occurrence manifested as a nonstandard voltage, current or frequency that results in failure or a mis operation of end user equipment. With the restructure of power system & with shifting trend towards distributed & dispersed generation, the issue of power quality is going to take newer dimensions. To overcome the problem related to power quality FACTS devices are introduced. Flexible ac transmission systems (FACTS) is one of the most prominent solution that can improve stability by changing electrical characteristics of Power system. A static synchronous compensator is one of the FACTS device operated on principle of reactive power compensation can use to improve the transient stability of the system by increasing (decreasing) the power transfer capability when the machine angle increases (decreases) [5]. Static synchronous compensator's three modes i.e. capacitive mode, inductive mode and no load mode regulates voltage in transmission system. When Converter a.c. output voltage (V_c) > transmission system voltage (V_s), STATCOM considered to be in Capacitive mode and when $V_s > V_c$, STATCOM considered to be in inductive mode and in No-Load mode $V_s = V_c$, no reactive power exchange takes place. STATCOM mainly comprise of step down transformer with leakage reactance, three phase GTO voltage source inverter and a dc capacitor voltage. Figure 1 shows equivalent circuit diagram of STATCOM system.



“Figure 1. STATCOM's Basic Circuit Diagram”

Voltage across capacitor i.e. V_{dc} is given by following equation


$$C \frac{dV_{dc}}{dt} + GV_{dc} = mk[\sin(\alpha + \theta)I_D + \cos(\alpha + \theta)I_Q]$$

Where G is conductance across the capacitor that represented losses in the capacitor while α and m are control variables of inverter which affected the magnitude and phase angle of the voltage injected by the inverter. In STATCOM different technologies used dependent upon the power ratings of STATCOM. For higher power STATCOMs GTO based technologies are used while for lower power STATCOMs IGBT based technologies used. In this paper GTO based technologies used. In GTO based static synchronous compensator m is normally kept constant and angle α is varied to control reactive power. Amount of real power generated or absorbed by STATCOM depends upon the size of capacitor. As compare to SVC, STATCOM provides a number of performance advantages for reactive power control applications because of its greater reactive current output capability at depressed voltage, faster response, better control stability, lower

harmonics and small size etc. In This paper a mamdani based fuzzy logic controller is designed. The inputs to the fuzzy logic controller are the alternator speed and its derivative and output is firing angle α of the voltage source converter. The proposed controller is tested on a two machine power system under Matlab Simulink Environment.

II. TWO MACHINE SYSTEM

Figure 2 shows single line diagram of two area system (area 1 & area 2). Area1 (1000 MW hydraulic generation plant) connected to Area 2(5000 MW hydraulic generation Plant.) through 500 kV, 700 km transmission line.



“Figure 2. Single line diagram of two area interconnected system”


Both plants fed to a load center, modelled by a 5000 MW resistive load. System is initialized so that line carries 950 MW which is close to its surge impedance loading. In order to maintain system stability Static synchronous compensator of 200 MVA is connected at midpoint of transmission line. By connecting it at midpoint the power transfers capability of system increases significantly.

III. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER


In Analytical approaches, Modelling and Control of Power Network requires mathematical equations/models. As power system models are highly nonlinear, number of assumptions need to be made before deriving mathematical equations. Fuzzy Logic is one option by which one can get rid from above problem because fuzzy logic is technique which deals with human reasoning that can be programmed in to fuzzy logic language i.e. is membership function, rules interpretation. Broadly fuzzy logic controller designed is classified in to following four states

- 1) Fuzzification
- 2) Knowledge base
- 3) Inference engine
- 4) Defuzzification.


Function of Fuzzification is mapping of input of fuzzy logic i.e. is crisp value in to fuzzy variables by using membership functions while function of fuzzy logic engine to infer the proper control actions based on given fuzzy rules. Under defuzzification, control actions translated into crisp values by using normalized membership functions. In this paper defuzzification of output signal is done by using centroid method. Fuzzy based Controller has been designed by taking generator speed and its derivative as input while angle α as output. The fuzzy membership functions of these variables are as shown.



“Figure 3. Input Membership function of ω ”



“Figure 4. Input Membership function of $d\omega/dt$ ”



“Figure 5. Output Membership function of α ”

Rule based table on which fuzzy controller for STATCOM installed on two machine systems is designed as given.

Table 1: Fuzzy Rules

ω $d\omega/dt$	NVB	NB	Z	PB	PVB
NVB	nb	nb	nb	nb	nb
NB	nb	nb	nb	nb	nb
Z	nb	nb	z	pb	pb
PB	pb	pb	pb	pb	pb
PVB	pb	pb	pb	pb	pb

The logic behind rule can be easily derived. For example


R1: if ω is positive big and $d\omega$ is positive very big then firing angle α is positive big.

R2: if ω is positive big and $d\omega$ is positive big then firing angle α is positive big

The logic is that when frequency is high and its rising fast, the system is in critical condition because the input mechanical power of generators is more than output electrical power. Therefore the STATCOM should inject big capacitive current into the network hence alpha should be small [19]. By this action the transmittable power capacity of the line on which

STATCOM installed will be increased and the transient stability will be improved. Other conditions can be analyzed in a similar way.

IV. SIMULATION MDOEL




“Fig 6. Simulink Diagram of STATCOM installed on Two Machine system”


Simulink Model of two machines (M1 & M2) system is shown in Fig 6. Each machine equipped with a Governor, excitation system and Power system stabilizer. These components are included in Turbine & Regulator1 and Turbine & Regulator 2. Both machine connected through a 500 kv, 700km transmission line. Resistive load of 5000MW connected on Machine M2 side. GTO based STATCOM having rating of 200 MVA connected at midpoint of transmission line. Given simulation model run for under discrete mode with sample time (T_s) set at 20×10^{-6} sec.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

A three phase fault having clearing time of 0.1 sec is given AT 0.6SEC. System installed without STATCOM becomes unstable after fault. Now system is installed with PI based or fuzzy based STATCOM and fault having clearing time of 0.1 sec is given, system becomes stable after fault as shown by swing curve.




“Fig 7. Rotor angle difference with time”




“Fig 8. Load angle with time”

From Fig 7 & Fig 8 it's clear that fuzzy based STATCOM controller is more successful in damping of rotor angle and Load angle variation as compared to PI based STATCOM.




“Fig 9. Reactive Power with time”

Fig.9 shows comparison between reactive power of fuzzy based and PI based STATCOM controller.




“Fig 10. Variation of Vdc with respect to time”

Fig 10 shows the comparison between V_{dc} (voltage across Capacitor) of Fuzzy based STATCOM and conventional PI based STATCOM with time



“Fig 11. Variation of Vasec Va1a with time”

Fig 11 shows the comparison between voltage, current of bus B2 and transformer secondary voltage of Fuzzy based and PI based static synchronous compensator with time.



“Fig 12. Variation of Vmeas Vref with time”

Fig 12 shows the comparison between measured voltage (V_{meas}) and reference voltage (V_{ref}) of fuzzy based STATCOM and PI based STATCOM with time.




Fig 13. Alpha with time.

Fig 13 shows the comparison between angle Alpha of voltage source converter of fuzzy based STATCOM controller and PI based STATCOM with time.

VI. CONCLUSION

In This Paper, Mamdani based fuzzy logic controller is successfully designed to control the STATCOM for improving transient stability of the power system. Controller inputs are chosen carefully to provide better damping to the system and its range are determined by the simulation results of Fuzzification process. This control strategy is compared with conventional PI based STATCOM controller for two machine system. Simulation results indicate that fuzzy based STATCOM controller provides better transient stability as compared to PI based STATCOM controller for two machine system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Keyon Wang, "Power System voltage Regulation via STATCOM Internal Nonlinear Control", IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 26(3), pp-1252-1262, Aug 2011.
- [2] Padiyar, K.R. "HVDC Power Transmission systems." 2 edition, new age international publishers.
- [3] Huang Shao-Ping, "Modeling and dynamic Simulation of GTO-Based STATCOM," International Conference on Electrical and Control Engineering (ICECE), Wuhan, pp 1293-1296, June 2010.
- [4] Tan, Y.L "Analysis of line compensation by Shunt –connected FACTS controllers: a comparison between SVC and STATCOM", IEEE proceedings on Power Engineering Review, 19(8), pp 57-58, Aug 1999.
- [5] Chun Li, "Design of a rule based Controller for STATCOM" 24th Annual Conference of the IEEE, Aachen, pp 467-472, Aug 2002.
- [6] M.Kazerani, "Mid-point sitting of facts devices in transmission lines," IEEE transaction on power delivery, 12(4), pp-1717-1722, Oct 1997.
- [7] Albasri, F.A. "Performance Comparison of Distance Protection Schemes for shunt-Facts Compensated Transmission Lines." IEEE transactions on Power delivery, 22(4), pp 2116-2125, Oct 2007.
- [8] Kundur Prabha, "Power system stability and control." McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [9] Cong, L. "Coordinated control of Generator excitation and STATCOM for Rotor angle stability and voltage regulation enhancement of power systems." IEE Proceedings on Generation & Transmission and Distribution, 149(2), pp 659-666, Feb 2003.
- [10] Mohaghegi, S. "An adaptive Mamdani fuzzy logic based Controller for a static compensator in a multimachine power system", Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Applications to Power Systems, Arlington, VA, pp 6, Feb 2006.
- [11] Zolghardi, "Power System Transient Stability Improvement using Fuzzy Controlled STATCOM", International Conference on Power System Technology, Chongqing, pp 1-6, Feb 2007.